

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,230	06/14/2001	Richard T. Shoemaker	RD8030 US NA	7555	
23906	7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER		
	NT DE NEMOURS AN	SALVATORE, LYNDA			
	ENT RECORDS CENTI ILL PLAZA 25/1128	EK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1771		
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					2 D		
		Application No.	Арр	licant(s)	W		
		09/881,230	SHC	EMAKER ET AL.	,		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit			
		Lynda M Salvator					
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the corres	pondence addre	ss		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ver, may a reply be timely file mum of thirty (30) days will be IX (6) MONTHS from the ma become ABANDONED (35 l	d e considered timely illing date of this commi	unication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	April 2004.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, '	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.	G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,9-11,22 and 23</u> is/are pendi	ng in the applicatio	າ.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,22 and 23 is/are rejected	ed.					
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆 :	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		ected to by the Exan	niner.			
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	g., p.,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.,			
ار ند ا	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	ived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			lo			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr				age		
	application from the International Bure						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li						
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTC	D-413)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	/ L	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		F2\		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) <u> </u>	Notice of Informal Patent Other:	t Application (PTO-1	52)		
Раре	er No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for continuing examination (RCE) filed 04/30/04, amendment, and accompanying remarks filed 03/23/04 have been fully considered and entered. Claims 1 and 9 have been amended as requested. Despite this advance, however, Applicant's amendments are not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art of record and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's argument regarding the election of Group 1, claims 1-12 with traverse filed 08/28/03, the Examiner respectfully points out that because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election was treated as an election without traverse. (MPEP j 818.03(a))

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-7,9,10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al., US 5,447,771, in view of Smith et al., US 3,852,946.

Applicant amended claims 1 and 9 to recite the limitation of a yarn denier ranging between about 15 and "about" 200 and argues a lack of motivation to combine the references of Mills et al., and Smith et al. This argument is not found persuasive. The Examiner maintains that Mills et al., teaches all of the limitations of the claimed bilobal S or Z shaped yarn with the exception of the denier range. The Examiner further maintains that it is proper to look to the

Art Unit: 1771

prior art for a teaching of denier range. The patent issued to Smith et al., teaches voluminous yarns suitable for use in the same carpet field of endeavor as Mills et al., having a denier range within the claimed limits. The Examiner notes Applicant's arguments regarding the preferred denier range taught by Smith et al., however, it would be improper to ignore the broad range teaching of a denier of 100 or less though it be not necessarily preferred. It is the position the Examiner that motivation to combine Mills et al., with Smith et al., is found in the improved hand, feel, and appearance of the yarns provided by Smith et al.

To reiterate, the patent issued to Mills et al., teaches a filament having a substantially flat-sided rectangular-shaped central segment, arms and lobes, which further includes curved tip portions extending form each end of the central segment in opposite directions. The arms are connected to the central segment such that the angle formed between each of the arms and central segment is from 105 to 165 degrees. Mills et al., teaches that this orientation gives rise to the distinctive bilobal S or Z shapes (Column 4, 48-60). The denier per filament ranges from 3 to 30 (Column 5, 29-30). The filaments may be blended with each other or with other filaments having varied cross-sections. Preferably, the yarn comprises a blend of 40 to 60% by weight of S shaped filaments and 60 to 40% by weight of Z shaped filaments (Column 5, 18-25). Mills et al., further teaches a carpet fabric comprising a mixture of 20 to 80% multifilament yarns having a trilobal cross section and 80 to 20% multifilament yarns comprising a blend of Z and S shaped filaments (Column 5, 35-40). Suitable filament forming materials include thermoplastic polymers such as nylon 6, 6 (Column 3, 15-20). The filaments are also suitable in applications other than carpet manufacturing such as in textile or non-woven fields (Column 5, 15-17).

Art Unit: 1771

Mills et al., fails to teach a yarn having a denier range of 15 to 200, however, the patent issued to Smith et al., teaches voluminous yarns having improved hand, feel, and appearance (Column 1, 34-36). The voluminous yarns taught by Smith et al., are employable for a variety of uses such as a commercial carpet yarn (Column 2, 1-5). Suitable yarn forming materials include nylon, polyethylene terephthalate, and polyolefins (Claims 5 and Column 8, 10-21). Smith et al, teaches that the novel yarn, which is excellent as the pile for carpeting, generally have a total denier ranging from 100 or less to 3,000 or more (Column 8, 25-30).

Therefore, motivated by the improved hand, feel, and appearance it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the teachings of Smith et al, and form the carpets of Mills et al., with yarns having a total denier ranging from 15-200.

5. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al., US 5,447,771, in view of Smith et al., US 3,852,946 as applied to claims 1 or 9 and further in view of Abel et al., US 4,071,468.

The combination of Mills et al., and Smith et al., fails to teach applying a wetting agent to the filaments, however, it is commonly known in the art to employ wetting agents to various textile substrates. For example the patent issued to Abel et al., teaches a wetting and antifoaming agent comprising up to 30 weight percent silicone oil for the purpose of variety of finishing and dying processes (Abstract and Column 7, 58- Column 8, 10). Specifically, wetting agents are employed to provide substrates with oil, water, and dirt repellency (Column 8, 1-8). Such repellencies are commonly known in the art and are typically found in carpets. In addition, in example 9, Abel et al., teaches a low foaming wetting agent particularly suited for carpet continuous dying (Column 14, 14-16).

Art Unit: 1771

Therefore, motivated by the wetting agent's ability to facilitate textile finishing and dying processes it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Abel et al., and apply a wetting agent to finish the carpet of Mills et al., and Smith et al.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1482. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 7th, 2004

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700